City of Minneapolis

2015 Legislative Agenda Proposals

November 20, 2014 Presentations

Public Works Department

- p. 1...... Session law amendment for legacy special service districts
- p. 2...... 10th Avenue SE River Bridge bonding
- p. 3...... Product stewardship approach to collection/recycling of mattresses/carpet

City Clerk's Office

p. 4...... Voter assistance provisions

Human Resources Department

p. 5...... Special law modification regarding rule of three

Questions? Please contact IGR Department Staff:

Gene Ranieri, Director, 612-673-2051

Sasha Bergman, Government Relations Representative, 612-673-2761 Melissa Lesch, Senior Government Relations Representative, 612-673-2328 Loren Olson, Government Relations Representative, 612-673-2447

This form serves to provide the City Council and IGR with key information on new legislative proposals. Please complete this form electronically and return it to IGR. Advisory boards from several existing SSDs have told the City Name of Legislation: that they would like greater flexibility in the types of eligible services that their respective districts are allowed to provide and greater flexibility when creating and appointing advisory boards. Amending a Minneapolis-specific Session Law would provide these districts with the desired flexibility and create greater parity between city's older SSDs and its newer SSDs. Short Description. Please include a **Public Works** description of the current issue/problem, as well as any relevant historical background. Sponsoring Department: Mike Kennedy, Director of Transportation Maintenance and Repair Andy Carlson Submitted By: Key Staff: Robin Hennessy Reviewed by City Attorney (include name Laws of Minnesota 1985, Chapter 302, as amended and phone): Statute Citation: City is lead advocate Priority (city is lead advocate) or Support (city supports other groups) item? Context for 2015 Session (e.g. Equity, None Transportation, etc.) City Fiscal Impact: None State Fiscal Impact: Supportive Partners: Other Engaged Organizations: For Internal IGR Use IGR Lead Staff Covered in existing item? Priority or Support? Legislative Agenda or

Policy Position?

This form serves to provide the City Council and IGR with key information on new legislative proposals. Please complete this form electronically and return it to IGR.

Name of Logislation:	10th Avenue SE Diver Bridge
Name of Legislation:	10th Avenue SE River Bridge
Short Description. Please include a	Constructed in 1929, the 10th Avenue Southeast River Bridge is an
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	open-spandrel arch bridge type. The bridge carries a four-lane
well as any relevant historical background.	roadway and a sidewalk. The bridge is one of a limited number of
	river crossings in downtown Minneapolis and is an essential link for
	non-motorized users since the I-35W bridge nearby does not carry non-vehicular traffic. Previous rehabilitation work has occurred, but
	condition issues have progressed to the point a major rehabilitation
	is again needed. Between 1970 and 1978, the approach spans were
	reconstructed and realigned and the arch span deck and floor beams
	were replaced. In 2000 the deck surface was milled and the concrete
	wearing course was replaced. While these past projects have kept
	the bridge in acceptable condition, the bridge has continued to
	deteriorate and it's now time to make further repairs to keep the
	bridge in good shape for years to come. A rehabilitation project now
	will prevent the need to replace the bridge by extending the life of the
	bridge.
Sponsoring Department:	Public Works
Submitted By:	Don Elwood
Key Staff:	Meseret Wolana, Jack Yuzna
Reviewed by City Attorney (include name	
and phone):	
Statute Citation:	
Priority (city is lead advocate) or Support	City is Lead
(city supports other groups) item?	
Context for 2015 Session (e.g. Equity,	Transportation bonding
Transportation, etc.)	
City Fiscal Impact:	\$3m + Federal
State Fiscal Impact:	\$42m
Supportive Partners:	Federal funding possible
Other Engaged Organizations:	
For Internal IGR Use	
IGR Lead Staff	
Covered in existing item?	
Priority or Support? Legislative Agenda or	
Policy Position?	

This form serves to provide the City Council and IGR with key information on new legislative proposals. Please complete this form electronically and return it to IGR.

complete this form electronically and return it to IGR.		
Name of Legislation:	A Product Stewardship approach to finance and	
	provide for the collection and recycling of mattresses.	
Short Description. Please include a	Annually, the City collects 34,500 mattress at a cost of nearly	
description of the current issue/problem, as well as any relevant historical background.	\$700,000. The City is not the only municipality dealing with the expense of disposal or recycling of mattresses. Introduction of some legislation this legislative cycle with local support with a more formal campaign in 2016 that would include the assistance of the ISPA.	
Sponsoring Department:	Public Works, Solid Waste and Recycling	
Submitted By:	David Herberholz	
Key Staff:	Kellie Kish	
Reviewed by City Attorney (include name and phone):		
Statute Citation:		
Priority (city is lead advocate) or Support (city supports other groups) item?	Priority (Support – MPCA hopes to tackle in 2016)	
Context for 2015 Session (e.g. Equity, Transportation, etc.)	Environment	
City Fiscal Impact:	\$700,000 savings	
State Fiscal Impact:		
Supportive Partners:	Other municipalities, Counties, the MPCA, RAM, Momentum (PPL),	
Other Engaged Organizations:	Minnesota Product Stewardship Council, Mattress Recycling Council, and Product Stewardship Institute	
Facilities at IOD Has		
For Internal IGR Use IGR Lead Staff	 	
IIGN LEdu Stall		
Covered in existing item?		
Priority or Support? Legislative Agenda or Policy Position?		

This form serves to provide the City Council and IGR with key information on new legislative proposals. Please complete this form electronically and return it to IGR.

Name of Legislation:	Voter Assistance Provisions
Short Description. Please include a description of the current issue/problem, as well as any relevant historical background.	Minneapolis has several growing communities of residents with limited English proficiency. Recent elections have seen increased voter turnout in many of those communities. Particularly for oral languages like Somali and Hmong, written translations are of limited value. Under current state law prescribing who is allowed in polling places, the city is very constrained in proactively providing assistance like interpreters to voters. This results in uneven levels of voter assistance and places the burden on voters to proactively seek out assistance on their own.
Sponsoring Department:	Office of the City Clerk
Submitted By:	Anissa Hollingshead
Key Staff:	Casey Carl, Grace Wachlarowicz
Reviewed by City Attorney (include name and phone):	
Statute Citation:	204C.06 Conduct in and near polling places. Subd. 2 Individuals allowed in polling place.
Priority (city is lead advocate) or Support (city supports other groups) item?	Priority
Context for 2015 Session (e.g. Equity,	This item falls within the context of equity, in terms of helping to
Transportation, etc.)	ensure all residents have unencumbered access to the voting process.
City Fiscal Impact:	Permissive legislation would have no immediate impact - policy designs made under the provisions of the law could have a range of fiscal impacts.
State Fiscal Impact:	none
Supportive Partners:	Other cities and counties in Minnesota face similar issues to varying degrees.
Other Engaged Organizations:	Several non-profit community organizations are engaged in voter outreach work and would make ideal partners in promoting expanded voter assistance options.
For Internal IGR Use	
IGR Lead Staff	
Covered in existing item?	
Priority or Support? Legislative Agenda or Policy Position?	

This form serves to provide the City Council and IGR with key information on new legislative proposals.		
Please complete	this form electronically and return it to IGR.	
Name of Lagislation	Dula of Three (Created by Chapter State Law, Chapter 544, LLE, No. 2070 in	
Name of Legislation:	Rule of Three (Created by Special State Law - Chapter 511, H.F. No. 2078 in 1978)	
Short Description. Please include a description of the current issue/problem, as well as any relevant historical background.	Modify the certification procedure for filling vacant positions in the classified service. Such bill would allow for the certification of all eligible applicants to a hiring department, rather than only the top three applicants (a.k.a. eligibles) to a hiring department/manager, as currently established by special state law, unless negotiated differently through the collective bargaining process. Moving this request forward will allow hiring managers to consider all eligible applicants for a vacant position. Repeal of the law (and replacement with enabling legislation) will remove the State's involvement in an administrative function of the City of Minneapolis and will bring us more in line with practices in other public sector organizations. Repeal of the law provides the City with the authority to: 1) Provide hiring managers with the authority to consider additional applicants when making critical hiring decisions; 2) Provide more opportunities for internal candidates. 3) Supports City goals of: a) <i>One Minneapolis</i> : Disparities are eliminated so all Minneapolis residents can participate and prosper; and b) <i>A City that Works</i> : City government runs well and connects to the community it serves. The repeal of the also supports and aligns with Council Resolution 2012R-456, <i>Supporting Equity in Employment in Minneapolis and the Region</i> .	
Consorving Donaston anti-	Human Resources	
Sponsoring Department:	Charles J. Bernardy, HR Manager	
Submitted By: Key Staff:	Spencer Cronk, City Coordinator, Patience Ferguson, Chief Human Resource Officer, Timothy Giles, Director of Employee Services and Susan Segal, City Attorney.	
Reviewed by City Attorney (include name and phone):	Mike Bloom (2038)	
Statute Citation:	Rule of Three is a certification process created by Special State Law (Chapter 511, H.F. No. 2078, Sections 1 & 2) in 1978. It was designed to allow the City of Minneapolis more flexibility than the Rule of One, which was established by City Charter.	
Priority (city is lead advocate) or Support (city supports other groups) item?	City is lead advocate	
Context for 2015 Session (e.g. Equity, Transportation, etc.) City Fiscal Impact:	Equity - Building a diverse and inclusive workforce that is more reflective of the Minneapolis population.	
State Fiscal Impact:		
Supportive Partners:	Minneapolis Park & Recreation Board	
Other Engaged Organizations:	City staff (Timothy Giles et al) are currently working with members of the City's Labor Management Committee to discuss and develop enabling legislation that would repeal or modify existing law.	
For Internal IGR Use		
IGR Lead Staff		
Covered in existing item?		
Priority or Support?		